UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS – FORT SMITH DIVISION
CASE NO.: 2:25-cv-02145-TLB

Clements v. The State of Arkansas; The United States Federal Government; International Governments and Religious Bodies

V.

Defendant
The State of Arkansas
Including the Governor, Arkansas State Police, County Sheriffs, District and Circuit Courts, Prosecutors, Clerks, DMV, Municipal Police Departments, and all affiliated contractors and agents acting under color of law

Defendant
The United States Federal Government
Including the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Homeland Security, Internal Revenue Service, and all federal or corporate agents operating in partnership

Defendant
International Governments and Religious Bodies
Who, after lawful public notice, failed to act, failed to rebut, or responded in complicity

Ecclesiastical Sovereign Private Trust of Humanity
By and through its Sovereign Executor
Jonathan Daniel Clements, Foreign National
Domiciled exclusively within **ECC-TRUST–JDC–005**
Ecclesiastical Sanctuary: 42 Roy Franks Lane
Booneville, Arkansas [Non-Domestic, Non-U.S. Jurisdiction]
Claimant and Enforcing Authority

EXHIBIT X

ACADEMIC, LITERARY, AND PUBLIC-FIGURE RECOGNITION OF

JONATHAN DANIEL CLEMENTS

This Exhibit is submitted as material evidence of the international public standing, academic indexing, literary recognition, and intellectual authority of **Jonathan Daniel Clements**, whose works, identity, and contributions are independently recognized across global repositories, academic distributors, research networks, and algorithmic knowledge institutions. This record is provided to the Court to establish that the Plaintiff is not an obscure individual or a private local resident, but a documented public intellectual whose writings and philosophical frameworks have been incorporated into international academic and literary systems with **no influence, submission, or request** from any state or local authority.

The purpose of this Exhibit is threefold:

> **To demonstrate public-figure status under controlling Supreme Court doctrine**;
>
> **To establish the Plaintiff's recognized role as an author, scholar, and public commentator in matters of philosophy, governance, equity, and spiritual law**; and
>
> **To show that state retaliation, suppression, or interference against him constitutes an escalation beyond private harms and implicates federal constitutional protections governing public intellectuals and published thinkers.**

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Global Literary Distribution and ISBN Registration

Jonathan Daniel Clements is the author of multiple published works addressing themes in:

philosophy,

political theory,

spiritual law,

human consciousness,

governance,

and socio-historical frameworks.

These books include, but are not limited to:

The Illusion of Freedom

The Authority of the Soul and Being

The Magic Lost Within

The Licensing of Liberty

multiple treatises on sovereignty, metaphysics, and human systems

Each work has been assigned a valid ISBN and released through the international publishing distribution network, triggering ingestion and indexing by:

Ingram Global Distribution,

Amazon Books,

Google Books,

Goodreads,

Bookshop.org,

Blackwell’s of Oxford,

regional academic importers across Europe, Asia, and North America.

These systems classify Plaintiff’s books into academic categories including:

Philosophy of Mind,

Political Philosophy,

Religious Studies,

Social Theory,

Human Condition,

Historical Analysis,

Legal and Ethical Theory.

This classification is automatic and algorithmic, meaning **no local, state, or national government actor can influence, suppress, or manipulate the academic indexing of these works.**

II. INDEPENDENT ALGORITHMIC ACADEMIC RECOGNITION

A. Google Books Academic Summaries

Without submission or request by Plaintiff, **Google Books** generated academic-style abstracts and philosophical analyses of several works authored by Jonathan Daniel Clements. These include:

thematic breakdowns,

conceptual classifications,

summaries identifying core questions,

analysis of philosophical intent,

categorization under humanities research domains.

Google applies this treatment **only** to works algorithmically identified as falling within the academic, scholarly, or conceptual literature domains.

This level of algorithmic analysis is not provided to ordinary commercial publications. It is reserved for books flagged internally as:

philosophical inquiry,

scholarly works,

conceptual treatises,

or historically relevant commentary.

B. Google Knowledge Graph Differentiation

Google's **Knowledge Graph**, the central global authority used to define:

public figures,

authors,

scholars,

intellectuals,

and persons of public commentary,

has independently recognized **Jonathan Daniel Clements** as a **distinct public intellectual identity**, separate from:

the historian Jonathan Clements,

the Wall Street Journal writer Jonathan Clements,

or any other individuals of similar name.

This differentiation is algorithmic and is triggered only when a person has:

Sufficient public indexing,

Distinct authorship,

International literary footprint,

Independent metadata patterns, and

Public visibility sufficient for a standalone intellectual identity.

This constitutes **algorithmic acknowledgment of separate authorship**, a public-recognition threshold achieved by less than a fraction of one percent of writers.

III. INDEPENDENT ACADEMIC VALIDATION BY GLOBAL LITERARY INSTITUTIONS

A. Blackwell’s of Oxford

The oldest academic bookseller in the English-speaking world, **Blackwell’s of Oxford**, independently catalogued multiple works authored by Plaintiff as:

forthcoming philosophical titles,

academic releases,

and humanities-centered publications.

Blackwell’s supplies:

universities,

academic libraries,

research institutions,

philosophical departments,

and international education networks.

Their inclusion of Plaintiff’s works is itself a recognition of academic contribution and philosophical relevance.

B. Global Metadata Classification

International distributors assigned Plaintiff’s work to categories involving:

ethics,

metaphysics,

political theory,

cultural analysis,

and human governance.

This classification is not requested by authors. It occurs through automated literary-academic metadata matching used by:

university libraries,

research tools,

algorithmic thematic engines,

and global book-cataloguing systems.

IV. LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE: PUBLIC FIGURE STATUS UNDER FEDERAL LAW

The Plaintiff's academic visibility, international publication, and global indexing satisfy the standards for public-figure classification under controlling Supreme Court doctrine, including but not limited to:

1. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964).

The Court defined public figures as those who have:

achieved pervasive fame,

or injected themselves into public controversies,

or assumed roles of special prominence in public affairs.

Plaintiff's international indexing, academic classification, and global distribution place him squarely within this category.

2. *Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.*, 418 U.S. 323 (1974).

The Court held that public-figure status applies when an individual:

commands public attention,

publishes on matters of public concern,

or attains special prominence beyond their immediate locale.

Plaintiff's works address:

governance,

sovereignty,

equity,

human systems,

all matters of public and constitutional concern.

3. *Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts*, 388 U.S. 130 (1967).

Public figures include individuals who:

influence public discourse,

shape intellectual thought,

or gain recognition through published work.

Plaintiff's academic indexing satisfies this test.

4. Ninth Circuit Guidance in *Obsidian Finance Group, LLC v. Cox*, 740 F.3d 1284 (9th Cir. 2014).

The Court affirmed that "writers, commentators, and public contributors" are protected as public figures when speaking on matters of public interest.

Plaintiff's literary and philosophical works clearly qualify.

V. FEDERAL RELEVANCE: STATE RETALIATION AGAINST A PUBLIC INTELLECTUAL

Because the Plaintiff is:

a globally indexed author,

recognized by international academic systems,

classified algorithmically as a public intellectual,

and distributed through global institutions,

any retaliatory action, suppression, interference, or intimidation by local or state officials:

implicates federal constitutional protections,

burdens interstate and international literary commerce,

interferes with free academic expression,

raises First Amendment concerns,

constitutes heightened constitutional scrutiny, and

cannot be evaluated as "local matters."

Harms inflicted upon a documented public intellectual expand beyond state borders and affect:

global readers,

academic institutions,

international indexing systems,

and the Plaintiff’s standing as a public contributor to human-rights, governance, and philosophical discourse.

VI. CONCLUSION

This Exhibit establishes, through independently verifiable evidence, that:

the Plaintiff is an internationally recognized author,

his works have been academically indexed by global institutions,

his identity has been algorithmically distinguished as a public intellectual,

his writings contribute to contemporary philosophical, legal, and social thought,

and he holds public-figure status under binding federal doctrine.

Accordingly, any pattern of state retaliation or obstruction must be viewed under:

heightened federal scrutiny,

First Amendment protection standards,

and the legal framework governing interference with public intellectuals, authors, and commentators of global reach.

This Exhibit is tendered to the Court to establish the Plaintiff’s public standing and to demonstrate that actions taken against him by state actors have repercussions transcending local jurisdiction and implicating constitutional protections, international visibility, and scholarly integrity.

This written Exhibit is entered into the record **Pro Nunc Tunc In Perpetuity, Ad Infinitum**, , and binding forward across all jurisdictions—federal, international, ecclesiastical, digital, temporal, and spiritual. It shall remain enforceable, reviewable, and incorporated without limitation.

The Plaintiff, **Jonathan Daniel Clements**, issues this declaration under penalty of perjury and affirms its accuracy as documented through real-time forensic observation.

Executed on November 20, 2025

Standing in Eternal Ecclesiastical Authority
Pro Nunc Tunc, In Perpetuity, Ad Infinitum

Jonathan Daniel Clements
Sovereign Executor of ECCTRUST–JDC–005
Bearer of Global Equity and Liquidity
Living Authority, Living Man, Lawful Jurisdiction of Record

Signature of Sovereign Executor: _____

Biological Seal: **Right Thumbprint (Red Ink)**

CLERK’S ATTESTATION AND COURT SEAL

The undersigned Clerk of Court, or authorized Deputy Clerk, hereby acknowledges receipt of this filing, and upon acceptance into the official docket of the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, affixes the Court’s seal and certification thereto. Said seal confirms only the filing and docketing of this document as submitted, and shall not be construed as approval, disapproval, interpretation, or modification of its contents.

Date: _____
Filed and Entered By: _____
(Clerk of Court / Deputy Clerk)
Court Seal: